



## Crop wild relatives conservation in the SADC region

Imke Thormann<sup>1</sup>, Ehsan Dulloo<sup>1</sup>, Nigel Maxted<sup>2</sup>, Joana Magos-Brehm<sup>2</sup> and Shelagh Kell<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Bioversity International and <sup>2</sup>University of Birmingham

Global Crop Diversity Trust, Bonn, Germany, 1 December 2015









## What is the CGIAR?

- 44 year old organization redefined as global research partnership of 15 international research centers for a food secure future in 2008
- Strategy and Result Framework:
  - Reduced poverty
  - Improved food and nutrition security for health
  - Improved natural resource systems and ecosystem services
- 15 CGIAR Centers, including Bioversity International
- CGIAR Research Programmes





## **Bioversity's mission**

Bioversity International delivers **scientific evidence**, **management practices** and **policy options** to use and safeguard agricultural and tree biodiversity to attain sustainable global food and nutrition security.





## **Bioversity International initiatives**



Healthy diets from sustainable food systems



Productive and resilient farms, forests and landscapes



Effective genetic resources conservation and use



## Crop wild relatives (CWR)

Wild plant species closely related to crops, including wild ancestors

Account for about 21% of the world's flora (Maxted and Kell 2009)

Important socio-economic resource that offers novel genetic diversity required to maintain future food security











## **Global importance**

### CBD Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011 – 2020 (20 Aichi targets)





#### Target 13

By 2020, the genetic diversity of cultivated plants and farmed and domesticated animals and of wild relatives including other socio-economically as well as culturally valuable species, is maintained, and strategies have been developed and implemented for minimizing genetic erosion and safeguarding their genetic diversity.

## **Global importance**

### Global Strategy for Plant Conservation 2011 – 2020 (16 targets)

*Target 9*: 70 % of the genetic diversity of crops including their wild relatives and other socioeconomically valuable plant species conserved

*Target 1*: An online flora of all known plants = inventory of CWR

*Target 2*: An assessment of the conservation status of all known plant species as guide for conservation action = conservation status of CWR



## **Global importance**

### Second Global Plan of Action for PGRFA 2011 (18 activities)

Activity 4: Promoting in situ conservation and management of crop wild relatives and wild food plants







#### United Nations Decade on Biodiversity

Ref.: SCBD/SAM/DC/DCo/84808

3 August 2015

#### NOTIFICATION

Strengthening the *in situ* conservation of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture through incorporation of Crop Wild Relatives under areas important for biodiversity in Protected Area Networks and other effective area-based conservation measures

(Aichi Biodiversity Targets 7, 11, 12 and 13)

(Global Strategy for Plant Conservation Targets 5, 6, 7 and 9)

Dear Madam/Sir,

As part of their shared mandates, the secretariats of the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) with its Financial Mechanism – the Global Environment Facility (GEF), the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (ITPGRFA) and its Benefit Sharing Fund, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)'s Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (CGRFA), and the Bioversity International (a member of the CGIAR Global Partnership on Agriculture Research) have identified opportunities to further strengthen the *in situ* conservation and sustainable use of plant genetic resources for food and agriculture (PGRFA), particularly through improved attention to, and coverage of, crop wild relatives (CWR) in protected area networks and other effective area-based conservation measures.

Further details are provided in the annex to this notification including: background information on the importance of PGRFA and CWR and how *in situ* conservation is addressed under the aforementioned governance mechanisms, organizations and initiatives; current information on status and trends of PGRFA, CWR and *in situ* conservation measures, that has identified the need for better coverage of CWR through protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures; other initiatives relevant to the conservation of CWR; the CBD Programme of Work on Protected Areas (PoWPA); suggested actions to strengthen the inclusion of crop wild relatives into protected area networks, including in support of related initiatives; and some potential financial resources to support the conservation and sustainable use of crop wild relatives, including as might be available through GEF-6 and the Benefit Sharing Fund of the ITPGRFA.

To: CBD National Focal Points ITPGRFA Focal Points PoWPA Focal Points We encourage you to review, develop or strengthen, as appropriate, your national strategies for th in situ conservation of CWR through protected area networks and other area-based conservation measure and the development of integrated approaches that link their conservation to their sustainable use. Suc actions have the potential to make significant contribution to the synergistic achievement of Aicl Biodiversity Targets 7, 11, 12 and 13, as well as to Global Strategy for Plant Conservation Targets 5, 6, and 9.

Yours sincerely,

Shakeel Bhatti Secretary International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture

Braulio Ferreira de Souza Dias Executive Secretary Convention on Biological Diversity

m. ann Ticker

Ann Tutwiler Director-General Bioversity International

Dan Leskien Officer-in-Charge Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

## Challenges

Affected by climate change, threatened by the loss, degradation and fragmentation of their natural habitats and competition from alien species

Present in protected areas, but not taken into account in PA management plans

Often located in disturbed habitats (e.g. field margins, roadsides), that are not being conserved

Underrepresented in ex situ collections

Identification of useful traits within CWR

Who is responsible - environmental or agricultural sector?









Wild Asparagus

## **SADC Crop Wild Relatives**



Full name: *In situ* conservation and use of crop wild relatives in three ACP countries of SADC Region



'In situ conservation and use of crop wild relatives in three ACP countries of the SADC region' (short name - SADC Crop Wild Relatives) is a three-year project (2014-2016) co-funded by the European Union and implemented through the ACP-EU Co-operation Programme in Science and Technology (S&T II) by the ACP Group of States. Grant agreement no. FED/2013/330-210.

http://www.cropwildrelatives.org/sadc-cwr-project/

## **Objectives of the SADC CWR project**

## Overall objective

**Enhance link between conservation and use of CWR** in three ACP countries within the SADC region, as a means of underpinning regional food security and mitigating the predicted adverse impact of climate change

## Specific objectives

- Enhance the scientific capacities within the partner countries to conserve CWR and identify useful potential traits for use to adapt to climate change.
- Develop exemplar National Strategic Action Plans for the conservation and use of CWR in the face of the challenges of climate change across the SADC region

## Capacity and training needs assessment

## Key findings

- Capacity constraints at various levels
  - Individual: taxonomy, ecogeographical surveys, seed handling, climate change modelling, data management and analysis
  - Organizational: financial, human resources, access to CWR material, outdated or incomplete data sets
  - Institutional: awareness about importance of CWR; access to areas of importance to CWR
- Data quantity and quality on CWR are poor and accessing data within the SADC region difficult
- Lack of policies on CWR

Survey in the 3 partner countries as well as SADC region - SPGRC





# First regional training workshop: "in situ conservation of CWR and diversity assessment techniques"

## **Topics**

Creation of CWR checklists and inventories

Prioritization of CWR for conservation

Conservation status and diversity assessment of priority CWR

Plans for implementation of conservation priorities

Relevant policy for the conservation of CWR



Mauritius, November 2014 26 participants from 14 SADC countries

# Second regional training workshop: "predictive characterization and pre-breeding of CWR"

### **Topics**

Application of eco-geography in PGR and use of CAPFITOGEN tools

Predictive characterization of a selected CWR for a specific trait

Definition and application of pre-breeding

Genebank operations critical to prebreeding programmes

Principles for parental selection and the generation and management of variation

Components of a pre-breeding project



#### Pretoria, South Africa, April 2015 23 participants from 9 SADC countries



1.3: Support on-the-job training in the three ACP countries

PB

S

www.cropwildrelatives.org/sadc-cwr-project/work-packages/capacity-building/

0 🗹

x∎

http://www.cropwildrelatives.org/sadc-cwr-project/

💌 📴 🐌 🞯 🗟 🛄 📴 🌵 💷 😪 🖿 🛛 10:10 AM

## Interactive toolkit for CWR conservation - backbone

Commissioned by FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture

To aid countries formulate National Strategic Action Plans for the conservation of CWR and LR Resource Book for the Preparation of National Plans for Conservation of Crop Wild Relatives and Landraces



http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates /agphome/documents/PGR/PubPGR/Res ourceBook/TEXT\_ALL\_2511.pdf

Decemb	CGRFA-15/15/Inf.24				
F	B de B a         Food and Apriculture         Organization de Notifies         Organization de Social         Organization de Social         April 2014	E			
С	DMMISSION ON GENETIC RESOURCES				
	Item 5.2 of the Provisional Agenda	]			
	Fifteenth Regular Session				
	Rome, 19 – 23 January 2015				
	NATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES				
	WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES				
	MATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP           WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES           TABLE OF CONTENTS				
	WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES TABLE OF CONTENTS Pages				
Forewor	VALIDINAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES TABLE OF CONTENTS				
Forewor	TABLE OF CONTENTS  rd				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE	INATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1	INATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2	INATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN	INATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES  TABLE OF CONTENTS  rd				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2	VALIDINAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP         WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         rd				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 2.3	NATIONAL LEVEL CONSERVATION OF CROP         WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         Reges         rd       3         station       5         ADERSHIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Leadership       10         Stakeholders       10         DERSTANDING THE COUNTRY CONTEXT       11         Constitutional, legal and institutional framework       11         Score of the National CWR Plan       11				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 2.3 3 PT	WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         Pages         rd       3         tion       5         ADERSHIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Leadership       10         Stakeholders       10         DERSTIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         DERSTRANDING THE COUNTRY CONTEXT       11         State of CWR conservation and use       11         State of CWR conservation and use       11         Scope of the National CWR Plan       11         ANING CROP WILD DER LITVES CONSERVATION       14				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 2.3 3. PL. 3.1	WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         TABLE OF CONTENTS         rd       3         rd       5         ADERSHIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Leadership       10         Stakeholders       10         DERSTIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Stakeholders       10         Stakeholders       11         State of CWR conservation and use       11         Stope of the National CWR Plan       11         ANNING CROP WILD RELATIVES CONSERVATION       14         ANNING CROP WILD RELATIVES CONSERVATION       14				
Foreword Introduct 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 2.3 3. PL. 3.1 3.2	WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         rd       3         stion       5         ADERSHIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Leadership       10         Stakeholders       10         DERSTANDING THE COUNTRY CONTEXT       11         Constitutional, legal and institutional framework       11         State of CWR conservation and use       11         Scope of the National CWR Plan       11         ANNING CROP WILD RELATIVES CONSERVATION       14         National CWR checklist and inventory       14				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 3. PL 3.1 3.2 3.3	WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         TABLE OF CONTENTS         Id and an antibular and antibular antibular and antibular antibular and antibular and antibular and antibular and antibular antibular and antibular antib				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 3. PL 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         TABLE OF CONTENTS         rd				
Foreword Introduce 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 2.3 3. PL 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         TABLE OF CONTENTS         rd				
Foreword Introduce 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 2.2 2.3 3. PL 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6	WILD RELATIVES - DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         TABLE OF CONTENTS         rd				
Forewor Introduc 1. LE 1.1 1.2 2. UN 2.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 4. WH	WILD RELATIVES – DRAFT TECHNICAL GUIDELINES         TABLE OF CONTENTS         Pages         rd       3         rdion       5         ADERSHIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Leadership       10         Stakeholders       10         DERSTIP AND STAKEHOLDERS       10         Constitutional, legal and institutional framework       11         State of CWR conservation and use       11         State of CWR conservation and use       11         Scope of the National CWR Plan       11         ANNING CROP WILD RELATIVES CONSERVATION       14         National CWR checklist and inventory       14         Conservation priorities       17         Ecogeographic analysis       21         Threat assessment       23         Conservation gap analysis       26         UITING THE STATEGIC PLAN       29				

http://www.fao.org/3/a-mm542e.pdf

### Interactive Toolkit for CWR Conservation – innovation





## Interactive Toolkit for CWR Conservation – preview





## **Capacity building - summary**

- Capacity survey
- Regional training workshops
- Interactive toolkit
- Working sessions with partners in the countries

<section-header><text><image><image><image><image><image><image><image>







Immediate aim: Support the development of **National Strategic Action Plans (NSAP)** for CWR conservation and use

## **Objectives of the SADC CWR project**

## Overall objective

**Enhance link between conservation and use of CWR** in three ACP countries within the SADC region, as a means of underpinning regional food security and mitigating the predicted adverse impact of climate change

## Specific objectives

- Enhance the scientific capacities within the partner countries to conserve CWR and identify useful potential traits for use to adapt to climate change.
- **Develop exemplar National Strategic Action Plans** for the conservation and use of CWR in the face of the challenges of climate change across the SADC region



### MAURITIUS – CWR checklist

#### Flora checklist

• Flores des Mascareignes

#### Crop and wild harvested plant diversity

- Mansfeld's World Database of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops (<u>http://mansfeld.ipk-gatersleben.de/</u>)
- Endemic genera that contain cultivated taxa in MU (but absent in the Mansfeld's database)
- Native taxa with direct medicinal, timber and ornamental uses

#### CWR and wild harvested plants partial checklist – 644 taxa

- Only native taxa
- CWR related to food, forestry, medicinal and ornamental crops
- Wild harvested plants

## SOUTH AFRICA – CWR checklist

#### Flora checklist

• South African National Plant Checklist + Red List of South African Plants online database

#### Crop diversity (ALL CROP CATEGORIES)

- Global crops and minor crops potentially important for South Africa and regionally
- Crop And Crop Genus Lists For National CWR Checklists And Checklist Prioritization (Kell unpublished):
  - o Mansfeld's World Database of Agricultural and Horticultural Crops (<u>http://mansfeld.ipk-gatersleben.de/</u>)
  - o Enumeration of cultivated forest plant species (Schultze-Motel 1966)
  - o Community Plant Variety Office (<u>http://www.cpvo.europa.eu/main/) (</u>ornamental)
  - *Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Resources of the World* (MAPROW) database (U. Schippmann, Bonn, 2004, personal communication with S. Kell) (medicinals and aromatics)

20,500 native 8000

introduced

- o Genera of the Annex 1 of the International Treaty on Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture (FAO 2001)
- Assessment of activities on underutilised crops (Williams & Haq 2002)
- World Programme for the Census of Agriculture 2010. Appendix 4: Alphabetical list of crops with botanical name and crop code (FAO 2005)
- Draft list of indigenous and alternative food crops in South Africa (Agricultural Research Council of South Africa)
- Taxa were categorised as: food, fodder and forage, forestry and timber, industrial, medicinal and aromatic, ornamental and unknown
- Broader crop gene pool genera for South Africa extracted from the Harlan and de Wet inventory: <a href="http://www.cwrdiversity.org/checklist/">http://www.cwrdiversity.org/checklist/</a>)

#### Food and fodder CWR checklist – 1609 taxa

Both native and introduced taxa

### Overview – 3 countries



### SOUTH AFRICA – CWR prioritization

#### Criteria

Socio-economic value (FAOSTAT): 10 year average production value for SADC + average annual contribution to dietary energy per capita per day for Africa + globally important crops for food security (provide  $\geq$ 3% of plant derived dietary energy supply in  $\geq$ 1 sub-regions)

Utilization potential for crop improvement (Harlan and de Wet inventory: <u>http://www.cwrdiversity.org/checklist/</u>, GRIN): gene pool and taxon group concepts

IUCN Red List categories and Rarity

Occurrence status: native, naturalized

Relative distribution: endemic to ZA or not

### Methodology

1. CWR checklist was annotated with information as per the criteria.

2. Scoring and sum of scores for each taxon.

Priority CWR: score  $\geq$ 11 (out of 26) + all GP1-GP3 taxa that didn't score  $\geq$ 11

### Overview – 3 countries



### SOUTH AFRICA – priority CWR



48 CWR of eggplant

### ZAMBIA – priority CWR



5 CWR of rice

7 CWR of cucumber/melon

### Overview – 3 countries



## **Development of National Strategic Action Plans (NSAP)**



# WHICH SPECIES ARE PRIORITIES FOR REGIONAL CONSERVATION ACTION?



- More than 1900 CWR species occur in the region
- Which species are the highest priorities for conservation action?
  - Species related to crops important for food and economic security
  - Species with greatest potential for utilization in crop improvement programmes



# WHICH SPECIES ARE PRIORITIES FOR REGIONAL CONSERVATION ACTION? cont'd





#### WHICH SPECIES ARE PRIORITIES FOR REGIONAL CONSERVATION ACTION? cont'd



Data source: FAO (2014)

## **Diversity and hotspot analysis**



Diversity analysis (hotspot, complementarity, ecogeographic, combination of both)



In situ and ex situ gap analyses



Climate change analysis



**Conservation recommendations** 



Validate identified sites through expert interviews and field visits to verify spatial extensions of populations, threats and occurrences







## **Predictive characterization**

- Potential crops: rice in Zambia, *Vigna* and sorghum in South Africa, coffee in Mauritius
- uses geographical and environmental data along with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) analysis to identify plant populations that are likely to have specific characteristics, e.g. drought tolerance



## **Development of National Strategic Action Plans (NSAP)**



## THE CWR NSAP CONCEPT: purpose

- Raise awareness of the value of national CWR diversity for food and economic security, particularly for adapting crops to the impacts of climate change
- Define the specific actions and resources required to effectively conserve and sustainably utilize national CWR diversity
- Provide a framework and roadmap for long-term conservation and sustainable use of CWR
- Contribute to regional and global efforts in CWR conservation and sustainable use



## **THE CWR NSAP CONCEPT: elements**



## **THE CWR NSAP: documentation**



#### TEMPLATE FOR THE PREPARATION OF A NATIONAL STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN FOR THE CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE OF CROP WILD RELATIVES

Ehsan Dulloo, Joana Magos Brehm, Shelagh Kell, Imke Thormann and Nigel Maxted

Bioversity International and University of Birmingham



TEMPLATE FOR THE TECHNICAL BACKGROUND DOCUMENT OF A NATIONAL STRATEGIC ACTION PLAN FOR THE CONSERVATION AND SUSTAINABLE USE OF CROP WILD RELATIVES

Joana Magos Brehm, Shelagh Kell, Imke Thormann, Nigel Maxted and Ehsan Dulloo

> University of Birmingham and Bioversity International







# THE CWR NSAP: documentation

### NSAP

6	Conservation status of CWR diversity				
	6.1	National CWR diversity			
	6.2	Current status of in situ conservation of CWR			
	6.3	Current status of <i>ex situ</i> conservation of CWR			
	6.4	Threat assessment			
	6.5	Predicted impact of climate change			
	6.6	Conservation needs			
	6.6.	1 In situ conservation			
	6.6.2	2 <i>Ex situ</i> conservation			

### Technical background document

1	National CWR checklist					
	1.1	Type of CWR checklist				
	1.2	Data sources to produce the CWR checklist				
	1.2.	1 National flora checklist				
	1.2.	2 List of crops or crop genera				
	1.3	Generation of the national CWR checklist				
	1.4	Information documentation				
	1.5	CWR checklist and overview				
2	Prio	ritizing the CWR checklist				
	2.1	Prioritization criteria				
	2.2	Prioritization method				
	2.3	Priority taxa				
	2.4	Compilation of the CWR inventory for priority taxa				
	2.5	Information documentation				
3	3 Diversity analysis of priority CWR					
	3.1	Distribution, hotspots and complementarity analyses				
	3.2	Ecogeographic diversity analysis				
	3.3	Genetic diversity analysis				
	3.4	Information documentation				
4	Gap	analysis of priority CWR				
	4.1	In situ gap analysis				
	4.2	Ex situ gap analysis				
5	Clin	nate change analysis				

Bioversity

### CWR NSAP AND THE TECHNICAL BACKGROUND DOCUMENT - SOURCES

Resource Book for the Preparation of National Plans for Conservation of Crop Wild Relatives and Landraces





0

http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates /agphome/documents/PGR/PubPGR/Res ourceBook/TEXT\_ALL\_2511.pdf

#### December 2014 CGRFA-15/15/Inf 21 COMMISSION ON GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE Item 5.2 of the Provisional Agenda Fifteenth Regular Session Rome, 19 – 23 January 2015 DRAFT GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPING A NATIONAL STRATEGY FOR PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE: TRANSLATING THE SECOND GLOBAL PLAN OF ACTION FOR PLANT GENETIC RESOURCES FOR FOOD AND AGRICULTURE INTO NATIONAL ACTION TABLE OF CONTENTS Pages Executive Summary 2 - 3 I Introduction 5 - 10 II Background III. Preparing a National Strategy for PGRFA - a step-by-step approach 11 - 26 Stage A. Establish a coordinating mechanism at national level Stage B. Establish the foundations for a National Strategy for PGRFA Stage C. Finalizing and presenting the National Strategy for PGRFA 27 - 29 IV. Implementing the National Strategy for PGRFA Annex I. Some existing tools and information systems Annex 2. Checklist for developing a National Strategy for PGRFA Annex 3. Some resources for the development of a National Strategy for PGRFA This document can be accessed using the Quick Response Code on this page; a FAO initiative to minimize its environmental impact and promote greener con Other documents can be consulted at www.fao.org

http://www.fao.org/3/a-mm566e.pdf

## **Steps towards the development**

First national stakeholder workshop in each country



## Roadmap in Zambia

DATE	ΑCTIVITY	RESPONSIBILITY
7 August 2015 – 28 April 2016	Development of the first draft of the NSAP	Consultant
30 April 2016	Submission of the first draft of the NSAP to ZARI	Consultant
16 May 2016	The submitted first draft of the NSAP availed to Bioversity International and University of Birmingham	ZARI
31 May 2016	Feedback on the first draft NSAP made available to ZARI	Bioversity International, UoB,
15 June 2016	Feedback on the draft NSAP made available to the Consultant	ZARI
30 June 2016	Submission of the second draft to ZARI	Consultant
5 July 2016	Circulation of the second draft to stakeholders	ZARI
22 July 2016	Draft NSAP discussed and provide feedback during National stakeholders' workshop	National Stakeholders
22 August 2016	Incorporation of feedback from national stakeholders' workshop and suggestions made by ZARI,	Consultant
10 September 2016	Submission of the revised and finalized NSAP to ZARI	Consultant
3 October 2016	Share the finalized NSAP with Bioversity and UoB	ZARI
13 October 2016	Endorsement or validation of the document by stakeholders	National stakeholders
	Submission of Endorsed or validated final document to Permanent secretary	ZARI Director
	Launch of NSAP	ZARI

# Facilitate the mainstreaming of CWR NSAP into national and regional policies

**South Africa:** specific target for *in situ* and *ex situ* conservation of priority CWR in the South African national action plan for implementation of target 9 of the GSPC

**Zambia** : revision of the National Biodiversity Strategic Action Plan (NBSAP); National Agriculture Policy

**Mauritius** : links established to NBSAP; support from Ministry of AgroIndustry and Food security;

**SADC regional level:** support from SPGRC and SADC Secretariat

## **Final notes**

- Basis for working towards an integrated regional strategy for CWR conservation in the SADC region
- Creation of a regional collaborative CWR network is being discussed
- Final scientific conference in 2017 on CWR conservation and use
- Project approach can be implemented in other countries







## www.bioversityinternational.org





